Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/750,510	OH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steve Cha</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2003</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-5		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		j
for y 7/27/25	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To remove 112 issues, Applicant agreed to the following Examiner proposed amendment:

In claim 1, after "fumed silica", - - to form a resulting mixture- - was added. In claim 2, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted. In claim 3, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted. In claim 4, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted.

In claim 5, after "agent used in the", "mixing" was deleted..